IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Robert Holland Koon, #227826, a/k/a Robert Koon, a/k/a Robert H. Koon,) C/A No. 4:15-cv2349 DCN
u/w/u Robert Robn, u/w/u Robert II. Robn,)
Plaintiff,	ORDER
)
VS.)
)
U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch; Chief)
Justice Jean Toal; and S.C. Attorney General	
Alan Wilson, all in their official capacities,)
)
Defendants.)
)

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that plaintiff's complaint be summarily dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). **Objections to the magistrate judge's report and**

¹In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him

4:15-cv-02349-DCN Date Filed 08/12/15 Entry Number 21 Page 2 of 2

recommendation were timely filed by plaintiff on August 5, 2015.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and plaintiff's complaint is summarily **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motions to consolidate cases and to amend complaint (ECF Nos. 17 and 18) are deemed MOOT, and plaintiff's motion to change venue and for recusal (ECF No. 19) is **DENIED.**

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

United States District Judge

August 12, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure